The Chicago Tribune.

VOL. 24. CHICAGO, SATURDAY, JANUARY 7, 1871. NO. 157.

WASHINGTON.

Proceedings in Congress Yesterday.

The Paraguay Matter Finally Disposed of.

Treasury Order for the Redemption of 3 Per Cent Certificates.

Western Census Returns.

Search Ordered for a Missing War Vessel.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

THE PARAGUAY RESOLUTION.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 6.—The debate in the House on the Paraguay affair of Minister Washburne, created more attention than on yesterday. The crowd was of Mount Rock, Dawes and Voorhees, in favor of sustaining the resolutions, were strong and effective. Mr. BANKS said he did not regard the resolution as a censure of the Admirals, but a reversion of the Navy Department for having exceeded its authority, and, independence of, the instructions to have offered the resolutions. Mr. WILSON, of Vermont, and Mr. MAYNARD, of Tennessee, opposed the majority resolutions. Mr. WOOD, of New York, and Mr. STARKWEATHER, of Connecticut, and Mr. HOLMAN, of Indiana, were in the wrong. He wanted the whole subject referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, instead of the House that the naval officers implicated in this business had failed in the performance of their duty or projection of the rights of American citizens abroad. The excuse for their conduct made yesterday by Mr. ASHLEY, of Maryland, that Rios and Mangalhaes were persons of no promissory or reputation, was surprising, if said with by Dawes and Voorhees, both of whom asserted that their personal character had nothing to do with the matter; the fact that they were settled to the protection of the American flag was enough. Mr. ASHLEY—for any other's guidance. The interest of the members were in favor of the resolutions, and the debate went about the hall, gathering in the vicinity of each speaker in turn. Ex-Minister WASHBURNE was in the room during the debate on both days, and doubtless feels a degree of satisfaction over the strong approval of his representative given by the House of the conduct of the Minister. Many members, almost enough to carry the point, were in favor of a resolution of censure, but the majority, as reported in the resolutions. The temper of the Representatives was, however, so manifested in the debate that it is believed a vote of censure would have been carried. Mr. ASHLEY, of Ohio, moved to lay the resolutions on the table, and demanded the yeas and nays on the motion. Mr. WOOD reserved to the whole matter as a more controversy, and that he would call it up again. The yeas and nays were ordered, and the motion to lay on the table was lost—yeas 58, nays 124. Mr. WOOD reserved to the whole matter as a more controversy, and that he would call it up again. The yeas and nays were ordered, and the motion to lay on the table was lost—yeas 58, nays 124.

FORTY-FIRST CONGRESS.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

THIRD SESSION.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 6.

Mr. WHITTEMORE introduced a bill to create an additional land district in Texas; to make the city of Galveston a port of entry, and to establish a port of delivery at the city of Los Angeles, in Texas.

THE PARAGUAY RESOLUTIONS.

The House resumed consideration of the resolutions relative to the action of Admiral Davis and Minister Washburne in the Lopez-Jones affair.

Mr. SARGENT advocated the resolutions, and Mr. BANKS opposed them in a speech of the latter part of which was inaudible.

Mr. BECK supported the resolution, and criticised severely the action of Admiral Gordon and Minister Washburne.

Mr. DAWES regretted the necessity of voting on the resolutions.

Mr. BANKS, Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, said that the resolutions had been approved by the committee, and did not amount to censure on any naval officer. The committee had no censure to pass on any officer in their duty. The reason they failed to discharge their duty was that the Navy Department refused it, and it was against the policy of the Department that they should be called upon to sit in judgment on their subordinates. Mr. BANKS went on to say that the Secretary of the Navy had no right to withdraw from the Treasury, but commanded the practice and policy of the Department, and against the protest of the Secretary of the Navy, and against the protest of the Secretary of the Navy, and against the protest of the judges as to what should be done for the protection of the citizens of the country.

Mr. MAYNARD offered an additional resolution censuring Commodore Jenkins for going to Camp Lopez and Commodore Kennon for going to Asuncion. After conversation from Rios and Mangalhaes, as to which they were properly of and a general discussion, he spoke in support of the resolutions.

Mr. FARNSWORTH offered a concurrent resolution requesting the Secretary of the Navy to inform the House what steps have been taken to secure testimonial for the trial of Admiral Gordon and Minister Washburne, and when the trial will take place.

Mr. WOOD reverted to the whole matter as a more controversy, and that he would call it up again. The yeas and nays were ordered, and the motion to lay on the table was lost—yeas 58, nays 124.

Mr. WILLARD, Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, signed against the resolutions.

Mr. BANKS replied that if the gentleman's vote did not censure Admirals Gordon and Davis.

Mr. WILLARD replied that if the gentleman's own name did not censure Admirals Gordon and Davis, he would be very apt to regard it as a resolution or censure.

Mr. MYERS, another member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, said he had voted in favor of the resolutions for the majority resolutions, and that they did not censure Admirals Gordon and Davis. Mr. BECK (next speaker) he should not have voted for the resolutions had he known that the Secretary of the Navy Department for instructions would be held responsible.

Mr. VOORHEES said he would vote for the resolutions, but he did so with great reluctance, with the fact that the foreign policy of the government was not to be controlled by the Navy Department. He wanted to have something else to which the country could look for redress. He did not want to see the Secretary of the Navy the arbiter between the nations and the people of the country. He would not be in favor of the resolutions unless they were amended.

Mr. ELDRIDGE opposed the resolutions, and said that the commission he had retired it was his opinion that the Secretary of the Navy had no authority to overreach. The case will be

[Continued from the First Page.]

brought before the Senate and Speak for the action of the Secretary of the Navy. He (Mr. E.) was in favor of the resolutions, and he would vote for them.

Mr. BANKS said that the Secretary of the Navy had no authority to overreach. The case will be

The Chicago Tribune.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION (payable in advance):

Daily Edition, Eight Pages, one year.....$15.00
Daily Edition, Eight Pages, six months.....8.00
Daily Edition, Eight Pages, one month.....1.50
Tri-Weekly Edition, Five Copies, one year.....10.00
Weekly Edition, Single Copy, one year.....2.00
Weekly Edition, Ten Copies, one year.....15.00

Terms of same or more names.

To prevent delay and mistakes, be sure and give Post Office address in full, including State and County.

Remit by draft, express, Post Office order, or in registered letter, at our risk.

SPECIMEN COPIES SENT FREE TO ANY ONE APPLYING.

Daily, delivered, Sunday excepted, 25 cents per week.
Daily, delivered, Sunday included, 30 cents per week.

Address TRIBUNE COMPANY,
Chicago, Ill.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 7, 1871.

A PARTISAN CONSPIRACY.

The Democratic members of the House, or a portion of them, are making themselves ridiculous by their factious opposition to the Cook County delegation. The claim that this county is entitled only to fifteen seats in the House, is simply absurd. The population of Cook County *entitles* it to twenty-two members. This is not disputed. But it is attempted to place such a construction upon Section 14 of the schedule, and Section 7 of the legislative article as will deprive the county of one-third of its just representation, and leave 130,000 people without any representation.

If the provisions of the new constitution, which prescribe the mode of apportionment, are susceptible of more than one construction, that one which would be accepted, which does justice and avoids injustice, and nothing short of a plain and peremptory constitutional mandate, leaving no discretion to the Legislature, should cause the House to eject seven of its members, fairly elected, and chosen by representing not less than 77,000 citizens.

But the case under consideration is one in which those seven members-elect can not be disturbed by a forced, technical, and thoroughly unfair construction of the letter of the constitution, and by a palpable and malicious violation of its spirit and the intention of its framers.

It was manifestly the intention of the convention to give every county all the members to which it was entitled by its population. No other purpose was suggested or proposed by any member. There was no intention expressed to make an exception of Cook County, and no member ever said, in debate, committee, or in report, that Cook County had been arbitrarily reduced to fifteen seats in the House, regardless of population.

The 200,000 clause of the new defined Section 7 of the legislative article is a *thing in future*. It rests on a contingency which could not happen until after the election and assembling of the present Legislature.

It was necessary, first for Section 7 to be adopted before the Legislature could take cognizance of it or be bound by it; and, secondly, it was necessary for a Legislature to be elected in November, 1870, and to assemble on the 2d of January, 1871, before that clause could possibly come into force. But misunderstanding representation was adopted, and Sections 7 and 8 were *voted out of the constitution*, and that clause is, therefore, null and void. Suppose that it had been rejected by the people, it would first become a rule of action for the Legislature six months after the ratification of the constitution on the 2d of July, 1870; but suppose it were now a part of the constitution, it is applicable only to an act authorizing the State for Representatives, reduce Cook County to fifteen members at the next election, in November, 1872? Of course not, for the familiar fact that the majority of a district having a population not less than the ratio and three-fifths shall be entitled to two Representatives, and for each additional number of inhabitants equal to a ratio (127,322 of the State) one Representative." The next sentence says: "Counties having over 200,000 inhabitants may be divided into districts each entitled to not less than three, nor more than five Representatives."

Under this clause the Legislature would be obliged to give Cook County not less than five districts, in order to provide for the 22 members, if it divided the county at all. It will be perceived that the clause does not require this county to be divided. The Democratic discontent with the General Assembly is, it might almost the whole delegation to be elected by general ticket, or [illegible] divide the county into not [illegible] districts, so as to include all the seats to [illegible] population.

This and the other [illegible] of the section is the [illegible] of the Democratic [illegible] in the Constitutional Convention. The section is in direct violation of the letter of that convention, and in violation of the spirit of the [illegible] with the rules and principles announced in the article on the Legislative department of this constitution," that is, in accordance with the rules of fractions? and 3. The rule is "population." The fractions omitted in the 14th of January they make an exhibition of economy. The outstanding city bonds, with accumulated interest, are estimated by [illegible]$5,577,181. The bonds bonded debt voted to fund their bonds in new ten-year bonds, bearing 10 per cent interest, to an amount equal to what the bonds cost them, and interest at 10 per cent. By this arrangement the annual interest of the debt was reduced from [illegible] to [illegible]. The holders of the bonds would have consented to this, as they would thereby get more than they could realize by pressing the collection of the debt at this time. The people would have been relieved from the pressure of taxation to pay the interest on the debt, and to the extent of [illegible] per cent per annum. The bonds would have been paid in full at the end of ten years, and the people would have been relieved from the payment of $5,577,181 of principal and $3,346,308 of interest, or $8,923,489 in all. This would have been a saving of $1,784,697 per year for ten years. The people would have been relieved from the payment of $1,784,697 per year for ten years, and would have had the use of that amount of money during that time. Is there any one who will say that this would not have been a wise measure? Is there any one who will say that the people would not have been benefited by it? Is there any one who will say that the people would not have been willing to pay the interest and principal of their debt on this basis? Is there any one who will say that the bonds would not have been promptly funded on this basis

SAN FRANCISCO.

The Weather and the Season.

Mexico and Its Future.

A Melodrama in a Restaurant.

Streets, Street Scenes and Street Gossip.

[FROM OUR SPECIAL CORRESPONDENT.]

SAN FRANCISCO, Dec. 28, 1870.

Christmas with us has been a delightful one. The air is warm and clear, the sky unclouded, and the Bay Francisco and the sea outside the Golden Gate almost as smooth as a mill-pond. The weather was perfect in every respect, and an expression of more thorough satisfaction on the face of nearly every one. Hippodromes and merry-go-rounds in countenance, and despite the disappointments and shortcomings of the past year, our people look further ahead with full confidence to a prosperous coming season. The outlook just now is decidedly a good one, and we have, when all things considered, to be thankful for the success of the year.

A WORD OF WELCOME.

In my letters to THE TRIBUNE, during the past six years, I have often referred to Mexico as our nearest friend and ally, our sister republic, and next door neighbor. Why is it that our people can never compass a decent idea of the location of these nations or even think or look upon them as neighbors? How can we thus act with them accordingly? We should note the robber and the murderer—and the thief who robs his neighbor's goods as to be separated from the society of the honest. To be suspected of robbing him of them or the pies that he sends the articles, and his neighbor, finding them, does not; but she sorts of the whole country rejoice and possess strength—if not with outspoken approval, at least without condemnation or a word of reproval—at the action of Gen. Rosecrans in the matter of this, which I find going the rounds of the Northern journals, heralded in the Chicago Bulletin as

"Mexico is more accustomed to us than she was California when we acquired that vast region from her. Her people are friendly to us—by a large majority—and we must bear in mind that Sr. Juarez, Governor Hayes, Horn Lake Depot, had his legs badly frozen, and is under medical care.

The following were only slightly injured:—W. K Beale, Texas; F. Cunningham, Memphis; C. Thompson, Laughlin, DeSoto County; C. Thompson, Laughlin, DeSoto County; C. M. McDermott, Cairo, Christiana; Samuel Hall, Memphis; Mrs. Hall, Memphis, and others whose names could not be learned.

The frightful calamity—as has been previously stated—was caused by the breaking of the axle of a driver of the baggage car, which in its fall broke down two adjoining cars, and the latter, with the front all smashed in, was thrown over the bridge, and fell with a crash of timbers and iron to the bottom of the ravine, a distance of about thirty feet. The first car was left hanging partially over the bridge, while the rear car was standing on the track within a few feet of each other. The fall of the latter car, which was filled with passengers, caused the former to fall from its position, and it was precipitated over the bridge and down the ravine, where it lay with the roof of the car resting against the side of the latter car. The latter car was thus left standing on the track, while the former lay at the bottom of the ravine, a mass of broken timbers and iron. The scene presented by the wreck was one of the most fearful description. It was a perfect mass of broken timbers and iron, and the lamentations of those who were in the wreck were heart-rending beyond description. The scene was also witnessed by the inhabitants who were living in the vicinity of the ravine, and the lamentations of the dying and the agonizing groans of the wounded could be heard for a long distance. The alarm was soon given, and the people came flocking to the scene of the disaster from all directions. The Custom House authorities also sent a detachment of men to render assistance to the sufferers, and they were soon on the ground. The unfortunate passengers were taken from the wreck as soon as possible, and conveyed to the Custom House to receive such attention as could be rendered them by the physicians who were in attendance. The wounded were then conveyed to their homes in carriages, and the dead were taken to the Morgue, where they were identified by their friends. The scene at the Morgue was a most heartrending one. Many of the dead were identified before 9 o'clock in the morning.

THE NEW TARIFF.

Interesting Questions Important to Merchants—Decision by the Collector.

From the New York Times, Jan. 4.

The new tariff, which went into partial operation at the Custom House yesterday morning, has thus far worked smoothly, and the only difficulty that has arisen which the Assistant Collectors have been unable promptly to decide, has been also promptly to decide. Some of these have been referred to the Collector himself, and a number of others whose names could not be ascertained yesterday.

The Collector's Construction of the tariff, issued on the 2d inst., has been of great assistance to the Assistant Collectors in the discharge of their duties, and is found to be quite explicit. The following are the questions and decisions which have been referred to the Collector himself:

Question. Are the duties on wines, liquors, &c., to be paid in gold or currency?

Answer. In gold, as heretofore.

Question. Are the duties on sugars, molasses, &c., to be paid in gold or currency?

Answer. In gold, as heretofore.

Question. Are the duties on tea and coffee to be paid in gold or currency?

Answer. In gold, as heretofore.

Question. Are the duties on spices, fruits, &c., to be paid in gold or currency?

Answer. In gold, as heretofore.

Question. Are the duties on all articles not specified in the tariff to be paid in gold or currency?

Answer. In currency.

Question. Are the duties on all articles

THE LAW COURTS.

Two Well Known Chicago Firms in Bankruptcy.

Suits to Restrain Against the Democratic Victors.

An Unhappy Lawyer—The Police Courts.

United States District Court.

The trial of the indictment against James Long and others, for conspiring to defraud the government in the matter of the distillery at 44 Lake street, which manufactured in 1868 by Gedney, Kennedy, Hammond, and the case given to the jury.

IN BANKRUPTCY—REGULARITY OF A SCHOOL.

Before Register Dyer, the case of Thomas Hoyne, assignee in bankruptcy of Dow, Taylor & Co., against George Cowan and Henry J. Hulbert, to set aside the latter payment of $1,000 to Cowan, which had been made by the bankrupts on behalf of the publishing creditors, a fourth per cent dividend. Judge Hoyne presented the case for the assignee, and Judge Lawrence for the defendants. Cowan testified that he was interested in the publishing business, although he was not a member of the firm. He had a right to sell any or all of his property. Never knew Dow, Taylor & Co. show any bad faith; for a consideration and without any fraud. Hulbert, however, to be shown by the evidence.

County Court.

SETTLED MATTERS.

In the estate of Mary Ann Stone of James Chester, John Brant, and Charles W. Clayton, were appointed guardians.

WILL PROVED.

The will of Michael Ahern was probated, whereby, after testamentary gifts, he bequeaths his property to his wife.

The Police Courts.

Justice Rose's propensity for reading justice to all who appear before him in the Police Court on Tuesday morning was fully exercised in the only case that was tried, which, by the way, is the only one that ever will be tried, as it was a case of assault and battery, by which the complainant, Mrs. Ann Hutton, was fined $10 and costs for striking Mrs. Ellen Hall with a broom. Mrs. Hall, it seems, had left the room and called Mrs. Hutton out into the hall, where the latter struck her on the head with the broom. The jury was composed of Justice Rose, Mrs. Hall, and Mrs. Hutton, and the latter's fine was imposed by the first two. Mrs. Hutton, however, has appealed to the Criminal Court in bail of $500.

Justice Rose's decision was rendered in the case of Mary Ann Hall, charged with stealing $100 from Mrs. Ann Hutton, and she was discharged. The complainant